Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/975,145	DAYNES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kenneth Tang.	(3)	
(2) A. Richard Park (Reg. No. 41,241).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2005	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  NA		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
01 n n		
Kerneth Sang	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signatura if angressists
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	vApplicant's Representative S	oignature – ii appropriate)